

## Table of Contents

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Acknowledgments  | 5  |
| Table of Contents  | 9  |
| List of abbreviations  | 15 |
| Introduction   | 21 |
| 1 Indigenous Peoples in International Law  | 31 |
| 1.1 The (Non-)Definition of Indigenous Peoples   | 32 |
| 1.2 The international protection of indigenous rights  | 39 |
| 1.3 Indigenous peoples' land dispossession and recent evolutionary jurisprudence   | 55 |
| 2 Self-determination and Indigenous Peoples  | 61 |
| 2.1 The debated concept of and right to self-determination   | 61 |
| 2.1.1 Brief overview of developments surrounding self-determination  | 63 |
| 2.1.2 United Nations and Self-Determination  | 67 |
| 2.1.3 The right to self-determination in the Covenants and the position of the Human Rights Committee  | 73 |
| 2.1.3.1 Article 1.1 and the link with the political rights   | 74 |
| 2.1.3.2 Article 1.2 and the right of peoples over natural wealth and resources   | 78 |
| 2.1.3.3 Article 1.3 and the full exercise of the right to self-determination   | 80 |
| 2.1.4 Legal conceptualizations of self-determination   | 81 |
| 2.1.5 Crucial aspects: Post-colonial self-determination; Self-determination as <i>jus cogens</i> ; Singularity; and the People referred in Article 1 | 94 |

*Table of contents*

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 2.1.6 Recent developments in international law: The Responsibility to Protect and Remedial Secession   | 101 |
| 2.1.7 Latest quests for self-determination: Scotland, Catalonia, and New Caledonia   | 104 |
| 2.1.8 Concluding remarks   | 117 |
| 2.2 The right to self-determination of Indigenous Peoples  | 119 |
| 2.2.1. Introduction  | 119 |
| 2.2.2 The right to self-determination of indigenous peoples in the UN standards  | 122 |
| 2.2.2.1 References to the right of self-determination of indigenous peoples in the “Study of the Problem of Discrimination against Indigenous Populations” | 123 |
| 2.2.2.2 The UN Human Rights Committee, the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the right to self-determination of indigenous peoples     | 126 |
| 2.2.2.3 Self-determination of indigenous peoples in the Draft Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples  | 135 |
| 2.2.2.4 The shaping of the right to self-determination of indigenous peoples from the Draft Declaration to the UNDRIP’s formula                            | 144 |
| 2.2.2.5 The UNDRIP regime of self-determination and the right to autonomy of indigenous peoples  | 151 |
| 2.2.3 The right to self-determination of indigenous peoples in the two American and African regional human rights systems                                  | 154 |
| 2.2.4 The multifaceted right to self-determination of indigenous peoples   | 157 |
| 2.2.5 The implications of the use of the term “people”   | 169 |
| 2.2.6 Concluding remarks   | 172 |
| <br>3 One expression of the multi-faceted Right to Self-Determination of Indigenous Peoples: The Composite Right to Political Participation                | 175 |
| 3.1 “Political rights” in human rights law   | 175 |
| 3.1.1 Introduction   | 175 |
| 3.1.2 The “political rights”   | 176 |
| 3.1.3 Concluding remarks   | 182 |
| 3.2 The right to political participation of (national) minorities  | 185 |
| 3.2.1 Legal standards  | 185 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 3.2.1.1 Political rights of minorities in art.27 of the ICCPR  | 185 |
| 3.2.1.2 <i>Ad hoc</i> political rights of minorities   | 188 |
| 3.2.2 Theoretical debate   | 190 |
| 3.2.2.1 Participation within the State   | 191 |
| 3.2.2.2 Participation in the form of autonomy/self-government  | 195 |
| 3.2.3 Concluding remarks   | 202 |
| 3.3 The Composite Right to Political Participation of Indigenous Peoples   | 203 |
| 3.3.1 Participation of indigenous peoples in domestic decision-making processes  | 206 |
| 3.3.1.1 Introduction   | 206 |
| 3.3.1.2 The <i>ad hoc</i> rights of indigenous peoples to participation in domestic decision-making processes  | 209 |
| 3.3.1.3 Ways to implement the right participation of indigenous peoples in domestic decision-making processes  | 213 |
| 3.3.1.4 The Yatama case before the Inter-American Court of Human Rights and Indigenous peoples' electoral rights   | 216 |
| 3.3.2 Consultation and Free, Prior and Informed Consent  | 218 |
| 3.3.2.1 The recognition of the rights to Consultation and Free, Prior and Informed Consent   | 218 |
| 3.3.2.2 The content and implications of the indigenous peoples' rights to Consultation and Free, Prior and Informed Consent  | 226 |
| 3.3.2.2.1 The core elements of the right to consultation   | 227 |
| 3.3.2.2.2 Free, Prior and Informed Consent   | 232 |
| 3.3.2.2.3 Consultation and FPIC  | 233 |
| 3.3.2.3 Jurisprudence vis-à-vis the right to consultation and FPIC of Indigenous peoples   | 235 |
| 3.3.3 Indigenous peoples' rights to autonomy/self-government and to preserve their traditional institutions  | 241 |
| 3.3.3.1 International provisions recognizing the right to autonomy/self-government of Indigenous peoples and to preserve and/or establish their traditional institutions | 242 |
| 3.3.3.2 The debate on the right to autonomy of indigenous peoples  | 243 |
| 3.3.3.3 Forms of indigenous autonomies   | 246 |
| 3.3.3.4 Final considerations on indigenous peoples and autonomy  | 252 |

*Table of contents*

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 3.3.4 Final considerations on the recognition of the <i>composite right of political participation of indigenous peoples</i> | 254 |
| 3.4 Concluding remarks   | 255 |
| <br>   |     |
| 4 The peculiar Latin American context: Regional protection of indigenous rights and Indigenous movements                     | 261 |
| 4.1 The protection of Indigenous peoples in the Latin American Constitutions   | 263 |
| 4.1.1 Introduction   | 263 |
| 4.1.2 An excursus on indigenous peoples' recognition in the Latin American constitutions and recent developments             | 267 |
| 4.2 The role of the Inter-American human rights bodies in protecting indigenous rights                                       | 277 |
| 4.3 The increasing negotiating power of indigenous movements in Latin America  | 284 |
| 4.3 Concluding remarks   | 292 |
| <br>   |     |
| 5 The Bolivian case  | 295 |
| 5.1 Introduction to the Bolivian context   | 296 |
| 5.1.1 Socio-economic data  | 296 |
| 5.1.2 Marginalization of indigenous peoples, the 1990 reforms, and the new Constitution                                      | 299 |
| 5.2. The legal framework vis-à-vis indigenous peoples in Bolivia   | 311 |
| 5.2.1 International human rights law applicable to indigenous peoples in Bolivia   | 311 |
| 5.2.2 Domestic protection of indigenous peoples' rights  | 318 |
| 5.3 Indigenous peoples' participation in decision-making processes   | 322 |
| 5.3.1 Indigenous peoples' representation at national and local level   | 323 |
| 5.3.2 Consultation and FPIC of indigenous peoples in Bolivia   | 332 |
| 5.3.2.1 Legislative framework  | 332 |
| 5.3.2.2 Decision No.2003/2010-R of the Constitutional Court  | 338 |
| 5.3.2.3 Implementation of indigenous peoples' right to consultation and the controversial case of TIPNIS                     | 339 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 5.4 Participation through autonomy/self-government arrangements and the preservation of indigenous institutions | 352 |
| 5.4.1. The indigenous autonomy proposed by indigenous peoples in Bolivia  | 352 |
| 5.4.2 Looking for a Bolivian history of autonomy  | 353 |
| 5.4.3 The complex Bolivian (autonomous) administrative and territorial system                                   | 354 |
| 5.4.4 The AIOCs   | 359 |
| 5.4.4.1 Overall introduction to the AIOC and its legal framework  | 359 |
| 5.4.4.2 The existing AIOCs: Process of creation and rules   | 360 |
| 5.4.4.3 The regulation of new/future AIOCs  | 363 |
| 5.4.4.4 Common conversion procedure and other norms   | 365 |
| 5.4.4.5 The current status of the AIOCs   | 366 |
| 5.4.4.6 Final remarks on the AIOCs  | 372 |
| 5.5 Concluding remarks on the Bolivian case   | 377 |
| <br>6 The Chilean case  | 385 |
| 6.1 The Chilean context   | 386 |
| 6.1.1 Data on indigenous peoples in Chile   | 386 |
| 6.1.2 The “other” history of Chile, indigenous peoples’ land dispossession and recent social unrest             | 392 |
| 6.1.2.1 Introduction  | 393 |
| 6.1.2.2 Indigenous peoples’ historical land dispossession   | 397 |
| 6.1.2.3 The developments after the restoration of democracy   | 404 |
| 6.1.2.4 The 2011 students’ mobilization, the 2014 elections and the hope for a change of Chilean institutions   | 416 |
| 6.2. The legal protection of indigenous peoples in Chile  | 422 |
| 6.2.1 International human rights law applicable to indigenous peoples in Chile                                  | 422 |
| 6.2.2 Domestic protection of indigenous peoples’ rights   | 436 |
| 6.2.2.1 The lack of constitutional recognition  | 436 |
| 6.2.2.2 The Indigenous Law and other norms  | 443 |
| 6.3 Indigenous peoples’ participation in decision-making processes  | 448 |
| 6.3.1 Indigenous peoples’ representation at national and local level  | 449 |

*Table of contents*

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 6.3.1.1 The restrictive Chilean electoral system and<br>the obstacles to indigenous peoples' participation  | 450 |
| 6.3.1.2 Initiatives to include indigenous peoples in<br>decision-making processes   | 456 |
| 6.3.1.3 The Mapuche Wallmapuwen party   | 463 |
| 6.3.2 Consultation and FPIC of indigenous peoples in Chile  | 466 |
| 6.3.2.1 Legislative framework   | 466 |
| 6.3.2.2 Application of the indigenous peoples' right to<br>consultation in Chile  | 471 |
| 6.3.2.3 The recent Amparo proceedings vis-à-vis<br>the right to consultation  | 480 |
| 6.4 Participation through autonomy/self-government arrangements<br>and the preservation of indigenous institutions – indigenous<br>autonomy claims in Chile | 488 |
| 6.5 Concluding remarks on the Chilean case  | 494 |
| <br>Conclusions   | 507 |
| <br>Selected Bibliography   | 521 |