

Contents

Acknowledgments	7
Abbreviations	15
List of Tables	17
List of Charts	17
List of Maps	18
List of Figures	18
List of Appendices	18
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	19
Research Rationale: Poverty and Philosophy	21
Methodology	23
Motivation	24
Personal background	24
Personal preference formation and Amartya Sen's CA: meeting in the "grey zone"	26
Objectives	27
Findings, Advocacy and Outputs	29
State of the Arts/ Literature Review	31
Structure	31
Sources	33
Ethics of Poverty Research	34
PART I: Conceptualising Multidimensional Poverty – Amartya Sen's Capability Approach Examined	35
1. Problem creation: The linear interlinkage of poverty definition, concept, categorisation, measurement and policy	37
1.1 The linear interlinkage between poverty definition, conceptualisation and categorisation	38
1.2 Looking for an inter-subjective common ground	41
1.3 Leaving the common ground	43
1.4 Recapitulation and outlook	44

2. Understanding and conceptualising poverty: a diachronic theoretical analysis	46
2.1 The concept of poverty and the consequences for its understanding: a narrative	46
2.2 Categorisations	50
2.3 Further concept: Adverse incorporation	51
2.4 Distinguishing evaluative criteria	52
2.5 Recapitulation and outlook	53
3. Introducing Human Development and the Capability Approach	56
3.1 Human Development	59
3.2 Amartya Sen's Capability Approach	60
3.2.1 The CA – Just about development?	62
3.2.2 Traditional evaluative frameworks of poverty and social arrangements – a critique	63
3.2.3 CA – tracing the roots	64
3.2.4 Locating the CA into a school of thought	67
3.2.5 In a nutshell	68
3.3 The core concept	69
3.3.1 Functioning	69
3.3.2 Capability	70
3.3.3 Agency	70
3.3.4 Freedom	71
3.3.5 Real opportunities – Commodities and beyond	71
3.3.6 A closer look at functionings and capabilities	74
3.3.7 An illustrative example	75
3.4 A closer look at freedom	76
3.4.1 Freedom – negative vis-à-vis positive liberty	77
3.4.2 Freedom as a universal human right	77
3.4.3 Freedom – Constitutive and Instrumental Roles	78
3.4.4 Interconnections and complementarity of instrumental freedoms	82
3.5 What kind of Capabilities?	84
3.6 A closer look at agency and well-being, freedom and achievements	87
3.7 A closer look at evaluations, measurements and policy focus	90
3.8 Policy focus: process freedom or agency freedom?	92
3.9 Deliberate Breadth	94
3.10 Individual Diversity and Heterogeneity	95

3.11 The core principles	96
3.12 The CA – Misunderstandings I	97
3.13 Misunderstandings II	99
3.14 Who are the actors involved in enhancing opportunity freedom?	101
3.15 Recapitulation: Bringing it all together – Sen’s CA	101
Outlook	104
 4. Discussing the CA	105
4.1 A question of responsibility – is the CA an opportunity or an outcome-based theory?	105
4.2 Welfare, preferences, respect, social status, fairness: an ethical relevant discussion? An introspective account	107
4.3 Sen’s CA: A Theory of Justice?	110
4.4 Preference formation and choices being made: a need for analysis?	111
4.5 Individualism	113
4.6 The adaptation discourse	115
4.7 Old wine in new bottles?	116
4.8 One size fits all?	117
4.9 Martha Nussbaum’s CA and her list of 10 central human functional capabilities: a forceful demand for justice?	118
4.9.1 Liberalism and agency freedom	121
4.9.2 Looking at Sen and Nussbaum: tracing the roots	123
4.9.3 Human nature	125
4.9.4 Control, Nussbaum’s list as an entity, benevolence, one-size-fits-all – critique continued	127
4.9.5 Ontological and epistemological considerations between Sen and Nussbaum: choosing capabilities	129
4.9.5.1 Nussbaum’s ontology – internalist (Aristotelian) essentialism and internal realism	129
4.9.5.2 Nussbaum’s epistemology – introspection and field work	133
4.9.5.3 Proposing an epistemological alternative – Clark’s empirical philosophy	134
4.9.5.4 To the contrary – Sen’s ontology and epistemology	136
4.9.5.5 Sen’s notion of objectivity – walking on a thin line	136
4.10 Recapitulation and outlook	139

5. Q-squared research on poverty – selecting valuable capabilities	140
5.1 The epistemological and ontological Q-squared debate	141
5.2 Q-squared research and a growing epistemic community	144
5.3 Quantitative economic reductionism: A retrospective (narrative) analysis	145
5.4 Understanding qualitative poverty research: A retrospective (narrative) analysis	148
5.5 Qual/ Quant: A Continuum with strengths and weaknesses	150
5.6 Last comment on “why”: multidimensionality and its place in the modern/ postmodern debate	153
5.7 Practicality of Q-squared: How to mix?	157
5.7.1 Self-contained or opportunistic study design	158
5.7.2 Which mix?	159
5.7.3 Timing of the mixed method	160
5.7.4 Mixing possibilities	161
5.8 Recapitulation	162
6. Returning to the CA: Criticism and operationalization	164
6.1 Recapitulation and Last remark	167
7. Recapitulation Part I	171
PART II: ‘Capabilitizing’ the Poverty Challenge – The Case of Mozambique	177
1. ‘Capabilitizing’ Mozambique’s Poverty Challenge	179
1.1 Presenting Mozambique	181
1.1.1 Socio-environmental particularities	184
1.1.2 Ethnicity, religion, and languages	188
1.1.3 Geography	189
1.2 Recapitulation and outlook	189
2. Measuring Poverty in Mozambique: A Critique	190
2.1 Introduction: Discrepancy unravelled	190
2.2 Poverty as a lack of consumption: Measuring around the problem	192
2.3 Applying the “Basic Needs Approach” to set poverty lines: Confusing caloric intake with nutrition	193
2.4 Main limitations of PARPA’s unidimensional measure: Disclosure vis-à-vis concealment	196

2.5 Recapitulation: Matching measurement with definition – A call for revision	198
3. Real opportunity deprivation in Mozambique: an Indicator based approach	200
3.1 Economic Poverty	202
3.1.1 Employment and Income: sufficient to ensure a livelihood?	205
3.1.2 Feminisation of ill-being	207
3.1.3 Capability analysis: the absence of economic facilities	208
3.1.3.1 Mozambique's governmental structure	210
3.1.3.2 No market no demand?	212
3.1.3.3 Value-Chain Support Needed	214
3.1.3.4 A (neo-) Keynesian proposal	215
3.1.3.5 The provision of credit: funding for investment needed	223
3.1.3.6 Guarantees: Land tenure	227
3.1.3.7 Visions from Europe – Plan España	229
3.1.3.8 Macroeconomics 2010: trends and tendencies	230
3.1.3.9 <i>Relatório de Avaliação do Impacto (RAI): PARPA II Impact evaluation</i>	255
3.1.3.10 Economic Poverty: a summary	256
3.2 Political Poverty	257
3.2.1 One party rule in a multi-party state	258
3.2.2 Decentralisation	261
3.3 Social Poverty	262
3.3.1 Lack of transparency guarantees	263
3.3.2 Social relations and networks	265
3.4 Human Poverty	266
3.5 The four functionings of value and their capabilities denial: some anecdotal evidence from interviews conducted	270
3.6 Recapitulation and outlook	277
4. Selection of basic capabilities: What do Mozambicans value and have reason to value?	281
4.1 Selection of dimensions, variables, poverty cutoffs and weights	283
4.2 Selection Process	283
4.3 Methodological Limitations	285
4.4 Ideal List of Mozambique's poverty domains	288

4.5 Feasible List of Mozambique's poverty domains	292
4.6 Recapitulation	298
5. Multidimensional Poverty Measurement: Alkire Foster Method	299
5.1 Notation	300
5.2 Results	305
5.3 Recapitulation	311
General Conclusion	313
Bibliography	317
Appendices	341